United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,940	09/29/2003	Jin-ho Park	101-1007	8302
	38209 7590 11/14/2007 STANZIONE & KIM, LLP		EXAMINER	
919 18TH STREET, N.W. SUITE 440			HUFFMAN, JULIAN D	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/671,940	PARK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Julian D. Huffman	2853			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Secondary</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice und	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-24 and 33-39 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 25-32 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,10,11,40 and 41 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

10/671,940 Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1 August 2007 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 12-24 and 33-39 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 29 September 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

10/671,940 Art Unit: 2853

Claims 1-8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meyer (U.S. 6,239,817 B1).

Meyer discloses:

With regards to claim 1, a printer (fig. 1) comprising:

an ink head (26) comprising a nozzle unit (27) to eject ink drops in a shingling mode providing edge printing (the nozzle units are capable of being controlled so as to eject ink droplets in a shingling mode)

an ink collector (30) positioned under paper to correspond to the nozzle unit and having first and second wall portions to enclose a space to collect ink therebetween (upstream and downstream walls); and

first and second support beams (72-82 and 84-94, fig. 2) extending away from the first and second wall portions of the ink collector in the paper feed direction and in an opposite direction to the paper feed direction (beams extend in the feed direction since they have a length extending in that direction, and also in the direction opposite to the paper direction, due to their length), respectively, and alternately arranged with each other in a scan direction (even and odd beams may serve as first and second beams, the even and odd beams alternating in the scan direction).

With regards to claim 2, the first and second support beams are extended in the paper feeding direction by first and second lengths, respectively, the first length comprises a first paper contact portion and a first paper non-contact portion shorter than the first paper contact portion, and the second length comprises a second paper contact portion and a second paper non-contact portion shorter than the second paper contact portion (since each beam is angled, it has portions with varying lengths/heights, and the

10/671,940 Art Unit: 2853

lengths/heights are capable of contact or not contacting the paper in the manner claimed).

With regards to claim 3, the first and second support beams extend to have the same length to support the paper (fig. 1).

With regards to claim 4, an end point of the first support beam and an end point of the second support beam face each other in the scan direction (figs. 2 and 3).

With regards to claim 5, the end point of the first support beam extends in the paper feed direction to interlace with that of the second support beam (since the first and second support beams are formed alternately in the scan direction, they interlace with one another).

With regards to claims 6 and 7, the first and second support beams have the same height in a direction toward the ink head, the direction perpendicular to the paper feed direction and the scan direction (fig. 3).

With regards to claim 8, the first and second support beams extend from barriers, which partition the ink collector (fig. 3).

With regards to claim 11, the second support beam has a slant end portion inclining in the paper feed direction (figs. 2 and 3).

Claims 1, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kodama et al. (U.S. 2005/0078147 A1).

Kodama et al. discloses:

With regards to claim 1, a printer comprising:

10/671,940 Art Unit: 2853

an ink head comprising a nozzle unit to eject ink drops in a shingling mode providing edge printing (the nozzle units are capable of being controlled so as to eject ink droplets in a shingling mode)

an ink collector (fig. 22) positioned under paper to correspond to the nozzle unit and having first (3) and second (10) wall portions to enclose a space to collect ink therebetween; and

first and second support beams extending away from the first and second wall portions of the ink collector (38) in the paper feed direction and in an opposite direction to the paper feed direction (fig. 21, the beams extend in both directions), respectively, and alternately arranged with each other in a scan direction (the first support beams may be the odd beams, while the second support beams may be the even beams).

With regards to claim 40, a printer comprising:

an ink head having a nozzle unit to eject ink drops (fig. 1);

an ink collector (fig. 22) positioned under paper to correspond to the nozzle unit and having first and second opposing and upright wall portions to enclose a defined space to collect ink (14b, 10);

one or more first support beams extending away from the first opposing wall portion of the ink collector in a paper-feed direction partially across the defined space (38); and

one or more second support beams extending away from the second opposing wall portion of the ink collector in an opposite direction to the paper feed direction partially across the defined space (38).

With regards to claim 41, a printer comprising:

10/671,940 Art Unit: 2853

an ink head having a nozzle unit to eject ink drops (fig. 1);

an ink collector (fig. 22) positioned under paper to correspond to the nozzle unit and having first and second opposing and upright wall portions (10, 14b) to enclose a defined space to collect ink; and

first and second support beams (38) extending away from the first and second opposing wall portions of the ink collector in a paper-feed direction and an opposite direction to the paper feed direction, respectively, to segment the defined space of the ink collector without partitioning the defined space (fig. 22).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meyer in view of Matsuhashi.

Meyer discloses everything claimed with the exception of a second support beam with a round end portion.

Matsuhashi discloses support beams with round end portions (fig. 8, 214).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the beam of Meyer so as to have a round end portion as taught

10/671.940

Art Unit: 2853

by Matsuhashi for the purpose of providing a smooth path for the print medium to travel thereby providing a more constant feed.

Response to Arguments

Rejection under 102(b) to Meyer

Applicant argues that Meyer's cockle ribs extend only in a direction perpendicular to a paper feed direction from a wall lip 36 and Meyer does not disclose "first and second support beams extending away from the first and second wall portions of the ink collector in the paper feed direction and in an opposite direction to the paper feed direction, respectively".

However, it is the examiner's interpretation that Meyer discloses this limitation. The word "portion" can refer to any part of the wall (generally portion is defined as a part of a whole). Since the wall is a solid 3D structure having a dimension in the paper feed direction, and the claim uses the language "portion" and the ribs extend in the paper feed direction from various exterior and interior portions/points of the wall, Meyer is believed to satisfy the claim language.

Dependent claims 2-8 and 11 are believed to be unpatentable for similar reasons as claim 1.

Rejection under 102(e) to Kodama

Applicant argues that the ribs do not extend "in the paper feed direction and in an opposite direction to the paper feed direction, respectively"; the ribs extend from the inside of protuberances 14b in a scanning direction. Thus Kodama does not teach "first and second support beams extending away from the first and second wall portions of

10/671,940

Art Unit: 2853

the ink collector in the paper feed direction and in an opposite direction to the paper feed direction, respectively".

However, since the ribs have dimensions in the paper feed direction (thickness), they extend in the paper feed direction and in a direction opposite the paper feed direction.

Matsuhashi

The examiner agrees with applicant that Matsuhashi does not disclose the invention of claims 40-42. This rejection is withdrawn. However, claims 40-42 remain rejected as being anticipated by Kodama.

The examiner agrees that Matsuhashi does not disclose the invention of claims 25-32 and this rejection is withdrawn. Claims 25-32 are allowed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 25-32 are allowed.

Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian D. Huffman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2147. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00a.m.-6:30p.m. Monday-Friday.

10/671,940

Art Unit: 2853

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Julian D. Huffman/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853 8 November 2007